arXiv:math/9504229v1 [math.NT] 1 Apr 1995

Polynomials involving the floor function

Inger Johanne Håland[1] and Donald E. Knuth[2]

Abstract. Some identities are presented that generalize the formula

$$x^3 = 3x\big\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor\big\rfloor - 3\lfloor x\rfloor\,\big\lfloor x\lfloor x\rfloor\big\rfloor + \lfloor x\rfloor^3 + 3\{x\}\,\{x\lfloor x\rfloor\} + \{x\}^3$$

to a representation of the product $x_0x_1\ldots x_{n-1}$.

1. Introduction. Let $\lfloor x\rfloor$ be the greatest integer less than or equal to x, and let $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x\rfloor$ be the fractional part of x. The purpose of this note is to show how the formulas

$$xy = \lfloor x\rfloor y + x\lfloor y\rfloor - \lfloor x\rfloor\,\lfloor y\rfloor + \{x\}\,\{y\} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} xyz = {} & x\big\lfloor y\lfloor z\rfloor\big\rfloor + y\big\lfloor z\lfloor x\rfloor\big\rfloor + z\big\lfloor x\lfloor y\rfloor\big\rfloor \\ & - \lfloor x\rfloor\,\big\lfloor y\lfloor z\rfloor\big\rfloor - \lfloor y\rfloor\,\big\lfloor z\lfloor x\rfloor\big\rfloor - z\big\lfloor x\lfloor y\rfloor\big\rfloor \\ & + \lfloor x\rfloor\,\lfloor y\rfloor\,\lfloor z\rfloor \\ & + \{x\}\,\{y\lfloor z\rfloor\} + \{y\}\,\{z\lfloor x\rfloor\} + \{z\}\,\{x\lfloor y\rfloor\} \\ & + \{x\}\,\{y\}\,\{z\} \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

can be extended to higher-order products $x_0x_1\ldots x_{n-1}$.

These identities make it possible to answer questions about the distribution mod 1 of sequences having the form

$$\alpha_1 n\big\lfloor\alpha_2 n\ldots\,\big\lfloor\alpha_{k-1}n\,\lfloor\alpha_k n\rfloor\,\big\rfloor\,\ldots\big\rfloor\,, \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Such sequences are known to be uniformly distributed mod 1 if the real numbers $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are rationally independent [1]; we will prove that (1.3) is uniformly distributed in the special case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_k = \alpha$ if and only if α^k is irrational, when k is prime. (It is interesting to compare this result to analogous properties of the sequence

$$\alpha_0\lfloor\alpha_1 n\rfloor\,\lfloor\alpha_2 n\rfloor\,\ldots\,\lfloor\alpha_k n\rfloor\,, \qquad n = 1, 2\ldots, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are positive real numbers. If $k \geq 3$, such sequences are uniformly distributed mod 1 if and only if α_0 is irrational [2].)

---

[1] Agder College of Engineering, N–4890 Grimstad, Norway
[2] Computer Science Department, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305 USA

2. Formulas for the product $x_0x_1\ldots x_{n-1}$. The general expression we will derive for $x_0x_1\ldots x_{n-1}$ contains $2^{n+1}-n-2$ terms. Given a sequence $X=(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})$ we regard x_{n+j} as equivalent to x_j, and for integers $a\le b$ we define

$$X^{a:b}=\begin{cases}1\,, & \text{if } a=b;\\ x_a\lfloor X^{(a+1):b}\rfloor\,, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Thus $X^{1:4}=x_1\lfloor x_2\lfloor x_3\rfloor\rfloor$ and $X^{4:(n+1)}=x_4\lfloor x_5\lfloor\ldots\lfloor x_{n-1}\lfloor x_0\rfloor\rfloor\ldots\rfloor\rfloor$. Using this notation, we obtain an expression for $x_0x_1\ldots x_{n-1}$ by taking the sum of

$$\{X^{s_1:s_2}\}\,\{X^{s_2:s_3}\}\,\ldots\,\{X^{s_k:(s_1+n)}\}-(-1)^k\lfloor X^{s_1:s_2}\rfloor\,\lfloor X^{s_2:s_3}\rfloor\,\ldots\,\lfloor X^{s_k:(s_1+n)}\rfloor \tag{2.2}$$

over all nonempty subsets $S=\{s_1,\ldots,s_k\}$ of $\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$, where $s_1<\cdots<s_k$. This rule defines $2^{n+1}-2$ terms, but in the special case $k=1$ the two terms of (2.2) reduce to

$$\{X^{s_1:(s_1+n)}\}+\lfloor X^{s_1:(s_1+n)}\rfloor=X^{s_1:(s_1+n)} \tag{2.3}$$

so we can combine them and make the overall formula n terms shorter. The right-hand side of (1.2) illustrates this construction when $n=3$.

To prove that the sum of all terms (2.2) equals $x_0x_1\ldots x_{n-1}$, we replace $\{X^{a:b}\}$ by $X^{a:b}-\lfloor X^{a:b}\rfloor$ and expand all products. One of the terms in this expansion is $x_0x_1\ldots x_{n-1}$; it arises only from the set $S=\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$. The other terms all contain at least one occurrence of the floor operator, and they can be written

$$x_{u_1}\ldots x_{v_1-1}\lfloor X^{v_1:u_2}\rfloor\,x_{u_2}\ldots x_{v_2-1}\,\lfloor X^{v_2:u_3}\rfloor\,x_{u_3}\ldots x_{v_3-1}\,\cdots\,\lfloor X^{v_k:(u_1+n)}\rfloor \tag{2.4}$$

where $u_1\le v_1<u_2\le v_2<u_3\le\cdots\le v_k<n$. We want to show that all such terms cancel out. For example, some of the terms in the expansion when $n=9$ have the form

$$x_1\lfloor X^{2:4}\rfloor\,x_4x_5\,\lfloor X^{6:7}\rfloor\,\lfloor X^{7:10}\rfloor=x_1\lfloor x_2\lfloor x_3\rfloor\,\rfloor\,x_4x_5\,\lfloor x_6\rfloor\,\lfloor x_7\lfloor x_8\lfloor x_0\rfloor\,\rfloor\,\rfloor\,,$$

which is (2.4) with $u_1=1$, $v_1=2$, $u_2=4$, $v_2=6$, $u_3=v_3=7$. It is easy to see that this term arises from the expansion of (2.2) only when S is one of the sets $\{1,2,4,5,6,7\}$, $\{1,4,5,6,7\}$, $\{1,2,4,5,7\}$, $\{1,4,5,7\}$; in those cases it occurs with the respective signs $-$, $+$, $+$, $-$, so it does indeed cancel out.

In general, the only sets S leading to the term (2.4) have $S=\{\,s\mid u_j\le s<v_j\,\}\cup\{\,v_j\mid u_j=v_j\}\cup T$, where T is a subset of $U=\{\,v_j\mid u_j\ne v_j\,\}$. If U is empty, all parts of the term (2.4) appear inside floor brackets and this term is cancelled by the second term of (2.2). If U contains $m>0$ elements, the 2^m choices for S produce 2^{m-1} terms with a coefficient of $+1$ and 2^{m-1} with a coefficient of -1. This completes the proof.

Notice that we used no special properties of the floor function in this argument. The same identity holds when $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is an arbitrary function, if we define $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x\rfloor$.

The formulas become simpler, of course, when all x_j are equal. Let

$$x^{:k} = \begin{cases} 1\,, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ x\lfloor x^{:(k-1)}\rfloor\,, & \text{if } k > 0; \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

and let

$$a_k = \{x^{:k}\}\,, \qquad b_k = \lfloor x^{:k}\rfloor\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Then an identity for x^n can be read off from the coefficients of z^n in the formula

$$\frac{xz}{1-xz} = \frac{a_1z + 2a_2z^2 + 3a_3z^3 + \cdots}{1 - a_1z - a_2z^2 - a_3z^3 - \cdots} + \frac{b_1z + 2b_2z^2 + 3b_3z^3 + \cdots}{1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + b_3z^3 + \cdots}\,, \tag{2.7}$$

which can be derived from (2.2) or proved independently as shown below. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= a_1^2 + 2a_2 - b_1^2 + 2b_2\,; \\ x^3 &= a_1^3 + 3a_1a_2 + 3a_3 + b_1^3 - 3b_1b_2 + 3b_3\,; \\ x^4 &= a_1^4 + 4a_1^2a_2 + 4a_1a_3 + 2a_2^2 + 4a_4 \\ &\qquad - b_1^4 + 4b_1^2b_2 - 4b_1b_3 - 2b_2^2 + 4b_4\,. \end{aligned}$$

In general we have

$$x^n = p_n(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) - p_n(-b_1, -b_2, \ldots, -b_n)\,, \tag{2.8}$$

where the polynomial

$$p_n(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = \sum_{k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + nk_n = n} \frac{(k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n - 1)!\, n}{k_1!\, k_2!\, \ldots\, k_n!}\, a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2}\, \ldots\, a_n^{k_n} \tag{2.9}$$

contains one term for each partition of n.

It is interesting to note that (2.7) can be written

$$\frac{zd}{dz} \ln \frac{1}{1-xz} = \frac{zd}{dz} \ln \frac{1}{1 - a_1z - a_2z^2 - \cdots} - \frac{zd}{dz} \ln \frac{1}{1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \cdots}\,,$$

hence we obtain the equivalent identity

$$\frac{1}{1-xz} = \frac{1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + b_3z^3 + \cdots}{1 - a_1z - a_2z^2 - a_3z^3 - \cdots}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

This identity is easily proved directly, because it says that $a_k + b_k = xb_{k-1}$ for $k \ge 1$. Therefore it provides an alternative proof of (2.7). It also yields formulas for x^n with mixed a's and b's, and with no negative coefficients. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= a_1^2 + a_2 + a_1 b_1 + b_2\,; \\ x^3 &= a_1^3 + 2a_1a_2 + a_3 + (a_1^2 + a_2)b_1 + a_1b_2 + b_3\,; \\ x^4 &= a_1^4 + 3a_1^2a_2 + 2a_1a_3 + a_2^2 + a_4 + (a_1^3 + 2a_1a_2 + a_3)b_1 \\ &\qquad + (a_1^2 + a_2)b_2 + a_1b_3 + b_4\,. \end{aligned}$$

3. Application to uniform distribution. We can now apply the identities to a problem in number theory, as stated in the introduction. Let $[0\,..\,1) = \{\, x \mid 0 \le x < 1 \,\}$.

Lemma 1. *For all positive integers k and l, there is a function $f_{k,l}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1})$ from $[0\,..\,1)^{k-1}$ to $[0\,..\,1)$ such that*

$$\frac{x^{:k}}{l} \;\equiv\; \frac{x^k}{kl} - f_{k,l}\left(\left\{\frac{x}{k!\,l}\right\}, \left\{\frac{x^2}{k!\,l}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{x^{k-1}}{k!\,l}\right\}\right) \pmod 1\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let

$$\hat{p}_n(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = p_n(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) - n\,a_n \tag{3.2}$$

be the polynomial of (2.9) without its (unique) linear term. Then

$$\frac{x^{:k}}{l} \;=\; \frac{x^k}{kl} - \frac{1}{kl}\,\hat{p}_k(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}) + \frac{1}{kl}\,\hat{p}_k(-b_1, \ldots, -b_{k-1})\,. \tag{3.3}$$

We proceed by induction on k, defining the constant $f_{1,l} = 0$ for all l. Then if $y_j = \{x^j/k!\,l\}$ and $l_j = k!\,l/j!$ we have

$$a_j = \left\{l_j\,\frac{x^{:j}}{l_j}\right\} \;=\; \bigl\{l_j\bigl((j-1)!\,y_j - f_{j,l_j}(y_1, \ldots, y_{j-1})\bigr)\bigr\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_j = \left\lfloor l_j\,\frac{x^{:j}}{l_j}\right\rfloor &= l_j\left\lfloor\frac{x^{:j}}{l_j}\right\rfloor + \sum_{i=1}^{l_j-1}\left\lfloor\left\{\frac{x^{:j}}{l_j}\right\} + \frac{i}{l_j}\right\rfloor \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{l_j-1}\left\lfloor\bigl\{(j-1)!\,y_j - f_{j,l_j}(y_1, \ldots, y_{j-1})\bigr\} + \frac{i}{l_j}\right\rfloor \pmod{kl}\,, \end{aligned}$$

because of the well-known identities

$$\{lx\} = \bigl\{l\{x\}\bigr\}\,, \qquad \lfloor lx \rfloor = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1}\lfloor x + i/l \rfloor\,, \tag{3.4}$$

when l is a positive integer. Therefore (3.1) holds with

$$f_{k,l}(y_1,\dots,y_{k-1}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{kl}\,\hat p_k(\bar a_{1,k,l},\dots,\bar a_{k-1,k,l}) - \frac{1}{kl}\,\hat p_k(-\bar b_{1,k,l},\dots,-\bar b_{k-1,k,l}) \right\}, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\bar a_{j,k,l} = \bigl\{ \bigl((j-1)!\,y_j - f_{j,k!l/j!}(y_1,\dots,y_{j-1})\bigr) k!\,l/j! \bigr\}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\bar b_{j,k,l} = \sum_{i=1}^{k!l/j!-1} \left\lfloor \{(j-1)!\,y_j - f_{j,k!l/j!}(y_1,\dots,y_{j-1})\} + \frac{j!\,i}{k!\,l} \right\rfloor . \tag{3.7}$$

For example,

$$f_{2,3}(y) = \{(\alpha_1^2 - \beta_1^2)/6\},$$

$$f_{3,1}(y,z) = \{(3\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1^3 - 3\beta_1\beta_2 + \beta_1^3)/3\},$$

where $\alpha_1 = \{6y\}$, $\alpha_2 = \{3z - 3f_{2,3}(y)\}$, $\beta_1 = \lfloor y + \frac16\rfloor + \lfloor y + \frac26\rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor y + \frac56\rfloor$, and $\beta_2 = \lfloor\{z - f_{2,3}(y)\} + \frac13\rfloor + \lfloor\{z - f_{2,3}(y)\} + \frac23\rfloor$. $\square$

Lemma 2. *The function $f_{k,l}$ of Lemma 1 does not preserve Lebesgue measure, and neither does $\{klmf_{k,l}\}$ for any positive integer m.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the second statement, for if $f_{k,l}$ were measure-preserving the functions $\{mf_{k,l}\}$ would preserve Lebesgue measure for all positive integers m. Notice that $\{klmf_{k,l}\} = \{m\,\hat p_k(\bar a_{1,k,l},\dots,\bar a_{k-1,k,l})\}$, because $\hat p_k(-\bar b_{1,k,l},\dots,-\bar b_{k-1,k,l})$ is an integer. The triangular construction of (3.6) makes it clear that $\bar a_{1,k,l},\dots,\bar a_{k-1,k,l}$ are independent random variables defined on the probability space $[0\mathinner{.\,.}1)^{k-1}$, each uniformly distributed in $[0\mathinner{.\,.}1)$. Therefore it suffices to prove that $\{m\,\hat p_k(a_1,\dots,a_{k-1})\}$ is not uniformly distributed when $a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}$ are independent uniform deviates.

We can express $\hat p_k(a_1,\dots,a_{k-1})$ in the form

$$k\,a_1a_{k-1} + a_1q_1(a_1,\dots,a_{k-2}) + k\,a_2a_{k-2} + a_2q_2(a_2,\dots,a_{k-3}) + \cdots + \tfrac12 k\,a_{k/2}^2,$$

for some polynomials $q_1,\dots,q_{\lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor}$, where the final term $\frac12 k\,a_{k/2}^2$ is absent when k is odd. Then we can let $y_j = a_j$ for $j \le \frac12 k$ and $y_j = a_j - q_{k-j}(a_{k-j},\dots,a_{j-1})/k$ for $j > \frac12 k$, obtaining independent uniform deviates $y_1,\dots,y_{k-2}$ for which $m\,\hat p_k(a_1,\dots,a_{k-1})$ equals

$$g_k(y_1,\dots,y_{k-1}) = mk\,y_1y_{k-1} + mk\,y_2y_{k-2} + \cdots + (\tfrac{m}{2}k\,y_{k/2}^2[k\text{ even}]). \tag{3.8}$$

For example, $g_4(y_1,y_2,y_3) = 4y_1y_3 + 2y_2^2$ and $g_5(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4) = 5y_1y_4 + 5y_2y_3$ when $m = 1$.

The individual terms of (3.8) are independent, and they have monotone decreasing density functions mod 1. (The density function for the probability that $\{kxy\} \in [t\mathinner{.\,.}t+dt]$

is $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \ln \frac{k}{j+t}\, dt.\bigr)$ Therefore they cannot possibly yield a uniform distribution. For if $f(x)$ is the density function for a random variable on $[0\,..\,1)$, we have $E(e^{2\pi i X}) = \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i x} f(x)\, dx \neq 0$ when $f(x)$ is monotone; for example, if $f(x)$ is decreasing, the imaginary part is $\int_0^{1/2} \sin(2\pi x)\bigl(f(x) - f(1-x)\bigr)\, dx > 0$. If Y is an independent random variable with monotone density, we have $E(e^{2\pi i \{X+Y\}}) = E(e^{2\pi i (X+Y)}) = E(e^{2\pi i X}) E(e^{2\pi i Y}) \neq 0$. But $E(e^{2\pi i U}) = 0$ when U is a uniform deviate. Therefore (3.8) cannot be uniform mod 1. $\square$

Now we can deduce properties of sequences like

$$(\alpha n)^{:k} = \alpha n \bigl\lfloor \alpha n \bigl\lfloor \ldots \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor \ldots \bigr\rfloor \bigr\rfloor$$

as n runs through integer values.

Theorem. *If the powers $\alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{k-1}$ are irrational, the sequence $\{m(\alpha n)^k - km(\alpha n)^{:k}\}$, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, is not uniformly distributed in $[0\,..\,1)$ for any integer m.*

Proof. This result is trivial when $k = 1$ and obvious when $k = 2$, since $\{(\alpha n)^2 - 2(\alpha n)^{:2}\} = \{\alpha n\}^2$. But for large values of k it seems to require a careful analysis. By Lemma 1 we have

$$\{m(\alpha n)^k - km(\alpha n)^{:k}\} = \left\{ km f_{k,1} \left(\left\{ \frac{\alpha n}{k!} \right\}, \ldots, \left\{ \frac{\alpha^{k-1} n^{k-1}}{k!} \right\} \right) \right\}, \tag{3.9}$$

and Lemma 2 tells that $\{km f_{k,1}\}$ is not measure preserving.

Let S be an interval of $[0\,..\,1)$, and T its inverse image in $[0\,..\,1)^{k-1}$ under $\{k f_{k,1}\}$, where $\mu(T) \neq \mu(S)$. It is easy to see that if $(y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}) \in T$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}$ are irrational, there are values $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{k-1}$ such that $[y_1\,..\,y_1 + \epsilon_1) \times \cdots \times [y_{k-1}\,..\,y_{k-1} + \epsilon_{k-1}) \subseteq T$. Therefore the irrational points of T can be covered by disjoint half-open hyperrectangles. We will show that (3.9) is not uniform by using Theorem 6.4 of [3], which implies that the sequence $(\{\alpha_1 n^{e_1}\}, \ldots, \{\alpha_s n^{e_s}\})$ is uniformly distributed in $[0\,..\,1)^s$ whenever $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ are irrational numbers and the integer exponents $e_1, \ldots, e_s$ are distinct. Thus the probability that $\{(\alpha n)^k - k(\alpha n)^{:k}\} \in S$ approaches $\mu(T)$ as $n \to \infty$; the distribution is nonuniform. $\square$

Corollary. *If the powers $\alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{k-1}$ are irrational, the sequence $\{(\alpha n)^{:k}\}$, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, is uniformly distributed in $[0\,..\,1)$ if and only if α^k is irrational.*

Proof. If α^k is irrational, $\{\alpha^k n^k / k\}$ is uniformly distributed in $[0\,..\,1)$ and independent of $(\{\alpha n / k!\}, \ldots, \{\alpha^{k-1} n^{k-1} / k!\})$, by the theorem quoted above from [3]. Therefore the right-hand side of (3.1) is uniform.

If α^k is rational, say $\alpha^k = p/q$, assume that $\{(\alpha n)^{:k}\}$ is uniform. Then $\{q(\alpha^k n^k - k(\alpha n)^{:k})\} = \{-qk(\alpha n)^{:k}\}$ is also uniform, contradicting what we proved. $\square$

We conjecture that the theorem and its corollary remain true for all real α, without the hypothesis that $\alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{k-1}$ are irrational.

References

1 I. J. Håland, “Uniform distribution of generalized polynomials,” *Journal of Number Theory* **45** (1993), 327–366.

2 I. J. Håland, “Uniform distribution of generalized polynomials of the product type,” *Acta Arithmetica* **67** (1994), 13–27.

3 L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences* (New York: Wiley, 1974).